

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/530,385	04/06/2005	Harald Rackel	DT-6949	4840	
30377	7590 04/12/2006		EXAMINER .		
DAVID TOREN, ESQ. ABELMAN FRAYNE & SCHWAB 666 THIRD AVENUE		•	LARSON, L	LARSON, LOWELL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	, NY 10017-5621		3725	•	
		•	D. EED 14. IV ED 0440 0000	DATE MARKED AMARON	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/530,385	RACKEL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lowell A. Larson	3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any n	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing at patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated the control of t	I. sely filed the mailing date of this co 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Dienociti	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1 to 7 is/are pending in the application  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) _1 to 7 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine  The drawing(s) filed on 06 April 2005 is/are: a)	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r.	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 4/6/2005.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/530,385 Page 2

Art Unit: 3725

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Reference to the claims for disclosure on page 3, line 12, is improper.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Petros.

Support plate 42 and transport device 58 are withdrawn under floor level into the trench by the cylinder that removes the backup rolls. Compare Figures 1 and 5.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 3 to 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

No clear antecedent basis is found for "the undercarriage" in Clams 3 and 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/530,385 Page 3

Art Unit: 3725

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2, 3 to 6 as understood, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fereday et al. in view of Petros.

Fereday et al. discloses floor plate 24 supported by ramps 24, 35 for being removable to beneath the floor level by operation of the backup roll withdrawing cylinder 26. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the floor plate 24 with a support plate, such as plate 42 of Petros, in order to facilitate replacement of the working rolls. Petros discloses the support plates 42 as displaceable transversely of the roll axis. The specific mechanism for effecting such displacement, as recited in Claim 7, is considered to be an obvious exercise of mechanical design in selecting among equivalent mechanisms which would occur to one skilled in the art for performing the same function, and not a patentable distinction absent a disclosure of criticality in the solution of stated problems with the use of any particular shifting mechanism.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sekiya further shows the state of the art.

Art Unit: 3725

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lowell A. Larson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4519. The examiner can normally be reached from M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Banks Derris can be reached at (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lowell A. Larson
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3725

LAL April 7, 2006